



REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE BUREAU OF AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH

RDMIC Bldg., Elliptical Road corner Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City 1104 (+632) 8461 2800 and (+632) 8461 2900 • r4d@bar.gov.ph

Reference No. 2025-01-23 13 January 2025

MEMORANDUM FROM THE DIRECTOR

TO:

ALL DA-BAR STAFF

THRU:

THE ASSISTANT DIRECTOR

THE DIVISION HEADS THE UNIT HEADS

SUBJECT:

RESPONSE TO ARTA OBSERVATIONS AND COMPLIANCE OF DA-BAR

TO ARTA PROVISIONS

It has come to our attention, based on the Anti-Red Tape Authority (ARTA) report (see attached) that DA-BAR has been flagged non-compliant with certain ARTA provisions, per RA No. 11032 or the Ease of Doing Business and Efficient Government Service Delivery Act of 2018. The bureau, through its Committee on Anti-Red Tape (CART) has already taken initial corrective actions to address these concerns and align our service delivery and operations in accordance with ARTA standards.

Initial steps undertaken for compliance:

- Display of ARTA-recommended signages such as "No Noon Break", " Anti-Red Tape," among others, are now being displayed in strategic areas of the office.
- Use of ARTA-prescribed Customer Satisfaction Measurement (CSM) tool. Per ARTA provisions, the bureau will start implementing the use of the CSM tool to evaluate both internal and external services rendered by DA-BAR.
- Citizens Charter visibility and accessibility. As the office lacks adequate space, the Citizens Charter will be displayed on a continuous loop on the TV monitor at the lobby.
- 4. Designation of two (2) ARTA focal persons per division. To institutionalize these efforts and ensure ongoing compliance, division heads are requested to designate one (1) technical and one (1) admin staff as ARTA focal and alternate focal, who will be responsible for monitoring of ARTA implementation within their respective divisions; collating and consolidating ARTA compliance reports; and closely coordinating with DA-BAR CART for ARTA-related matters.

As we recognize the importance of compliance with ARTA to enhance service delivery, transparency, and accountability, these measures serve as the initial phase of our efforts to fully and seriously comply with ARTA requirements.



The existing CART will oversee the implementation of these measures across all divisions/units.

All divisions/units are instructed to fully cooperate with the CART and provide necessary data for compliance and timely reporting.

Further steps will be identified and undertaken as we progress, to ensure that the bureau consistently meets the highest standards of public service.

For strict compliance.

JUNE B. SORIANO, PhD







19 December 2024

JUNEL SORIANO

Director

Bureau of Agricultural Research

RDMIC Building,

Visayas Avenue cor Elliptical Road,

Quezon City, Metro Manila

ATTN: ASSISTANT DIRECTOR JOELL H. LALES

CART Chairperson

SUBJECT: EVALUATION OF BUREAU OF AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH'S CLIENT

SATISFACTION MEASUREMENT REPORT FOR YEAR 2023

Dear Director Soriano:

Greetings from the Anti-Red Tape Authority (ARTA)!

Pursuant to Section 20 of Republic Act (R.A.) No. 11032, also known as the Ease of Doing Business and Efficient Government Service Delivery Act of 2018, all government agencies, including National Government Agencies (NGAs) such as Bureau of Agricultural Research, are required to establish a feedback mechanism.

Furthermore, Section 3(b), Rule IV of the Implementing Rules and Regulations (IRR) of R.A. 11032 mandates that agencies incorporate feedback mechanisms and client satisfaction measurement into their process improvement efforts. Agencies must also report the results of the Client Satisfaction Survey (CSS) for each service to ARTA in accordance with ARTA Memorandum Circular No. 2022-05 and its amendment, ARTA Memorandum Circular No. 2023-05.

In this context, ARTA has evaluated the CSM Report submitted by Bureau of Agricultural Research based on the Guidelines in the implementation and reporting of the CSM Report. The attached evaluation is hereby transmitted to your agency for reference. The evaluation shall serve as a guide for your agency in preparing the CSM Report for FY 2024. Please note the observations provided and ensure they are addressed in the succeeding report to be submitted by 30 April 2025, covering transactions completed in FY 2024.

We also wish to emphasize that the results of your CSM are intended to enhance service delivery within your institution, rather than solely meeting compliance requirements.

SMARTER INITIATIVES. BETTER PHILIPPINES.

Please be reminded that under Section 8 of R.A. 11032, the head of the office or agency is primarily responsible for implementing this Act and is accountable to the public for providing fast, efficient, convenient, and reliable service.

Should you have any concerns or require clarification, please do not hesitate to email us at compliance@arta.gov.ph.

Respectfully

SECRETARY ERNESTO V. PEREZ

Director General

www.arta.gov.ph





CLIENT SATISFACTION MEASUREMENT REPORT GENERAL EVALUATION SHEET

| Agency Classification | NGA | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Department/Agency: | Bureau of Agricultural Research - Central Office | | | |
| Submission Date of CSMR: | 29/4/24 Submission is on time | | | |
| Coverage: | January - December 2023 | | | |
| Date of Evaluation: | 11/11/2024 | | | |
| Citizen's Charter used as Reference: | 2021 Submission | | | |
| Date of Submission of CC: | 20-Apr-21 | | | |

| No. | Questions | Answers | Remarks |
|-------|--|---|---|
| Adopt | ion of the CSM tool | OFFICE STREET, | |
| 1 | Did the agency use the readily available harmonized CSM tool? | No | |
| 2 | Did the agency use its own version of the CSM tool? | Yes | The agency opted to implement the readily available harmonized CSM tool. |
| 3 | Did the agency include the three (3) CC- related questions in the CSM tool? | No | |
| 4 | Were the 8 SQDs included in the CSM tool? | No | The agency only included four (4) SQDs in the CSM tool. |
| 5 | Was the SQD 0 present in the CSM tool? | No | The SQD 0 was not present in the CSM tool. |
| 6 | Was the open-ended question present in the CSM tool? | Yes | |
| 7 | Did the agency include other questions in the CSM tool? | Yes | |
| 8 | Did the agency use the 5-Point Likert Scale? | No | |
| Subm | ission of the CSMR | ALL DESIGNATION OF THE PERSON | |
| 9 | Was the CSMR signed by the head of CART, head of agency, or authorized representative? | Yes | |
| 10 | Was the CSMR submitted on time? | Yes | |
| 1.40 | rting of the CSM | 20 000 200 200 | |
| q | W. 3 C. W. C. CO. W. P. L. | | |
| 11 | Title Page | Yes | |
| 11.1 | Official Logo | Yes | |
| 11,2 | | Yes | |
| 11.3 | Label | Yes | - I want to the second of the |
| 11.4 | Consolidation | No - | The Consolidation field was not included in the submitted CSM Report. |
| 11.5 | Year Covered | Yes | |
| 12 | Table of Contents | Yes | |
| 13 | Overview | Yes | |
| 14 | Scope | Yes | |
| 14.1 | Period Covered | Yes | |
| 14.2 | Geographic and Office Coverage | No ~ | The Geographic and Office Coverage was not included in the submitted CSM Report. |
| 14.3 | Table of Services Surveyed | No | The Table of Services Surveyed was no included in the submitted CSM Report. |
| 14.4 | Services with No Clients, if applicable | 1 | |
| 15 | Methodology | Yes | |
| 15.1 | | Yes | |

| 15.2 | Feedback and Collection Mechanism | Yes | |
|------|---|---------------------|--|
| | Scoring System | Yes | |
| 16 | Data and Interpretation | Yes | |
| 16.1 | Client Demographic - Age and Sex | No – | The Client Demographic - Age and Sex was not included in the submitted CSM Report. |
| 16.2 | Client Demographic - Region | No - | The Client Demographic - Region was not included in the submitted CSM Report. |
| 16.3 | Client Demographic - Customer Type | No - | The Client Demographic - Customer Type was not included in the submitted CSM Report. |
| 16.4 | Citizen's Charter Results | No — | The Citizen's Charter Results were not included in the submitted CSM Report. |
| 16.5 | Service Quality Dimension Results | Yes | |
| 16.6 | Free Responses | No / | The Free Responses were not included in the submitted CSM Report. |
| 16.7 | Discussion of Scores | No / | The Discussion of Scores was not included in the submitted CSM Report. |
| 16.8 | Analysis of Numerical Results | No / | The Analysis of Numerical Results was not included in the submitted CSM Report. |
| 17 | Results of the Agency Action Plan Reported in the Previous Year **if applicable** | N/A | |
| 18 | Continuous Agency Improvement Plan for the Following Year | Yes | |
| 19 | Index | No | The Index section was not included in the submitted CSM Report. |
| 19,1 | Clear images of CSM Used | No | The Clear Image/s of CSM Used was/were not included in the submitted CSM Report. |
| 19.2 | List of Offices Covered | No | The List of Offices Covered was not included in the submitted CSM Report. |
| 20 | CSM Results of Each Central, Regional, and Satellite Offices **if Consolidated** | N/A | |
| 20.1 | Response Rates of Each Office | N/A | |
| 20.2 | | N/A | |
| | SQD Results of Each Office | N/A | |
| CSM | Results | AND THE PROPERTY OF | The SQD 0 score was not included in the |
| 21 | What is the overall SQD 0 score? | Not in Survey | submitted CSM Report. |
| 22 | What is the overall SQD results? (SQD 1-8) | 49.89% | |
| 23 | What is the CC Awareness score? | Not in Survey | The CC Awareness Score was not included in the submitted CSM Report. |
| 24 | What is the CC Visibility score? | Not in Survey | The CC Visibility Score was not included in the submitted CSM Report. |
| 25 | What is the CC Helpfulness score? | Not in Survey | The CC Helpfulness Score was not included in the submitted CSM Report. |

Remarks:

Based on the submitted CSMR and ARTA's evaluation, the agency is deemed non-compliant. To improve the agency's compliance for the 2024 calendar year, the agency must ensure the following elements are incorporated into the CSM tool and CSMR:

A. Adoption of the CSM tool:

9 T 65 N

Incorporation of three questions pertaining to the Citizen's Charter

Inclusion of 8 questions concerning the Service Quality Dimensions (SQD 1-8)

Integration of a question addressing SQD 0

-Use of the Five-Point Likert Scale

- B. Inclusion of all services (external and internal) in the harmonized CSM. Make sure to provide the list of the actual services in the CSMR and provide the total transactions and total responses for each. Do not merely provide the service office in-charge of the service
- C. Compliance with the minimum sample size requirement, determined using the prescribed sample size calculator, for all services.

Additionally, the agency must be mindful of the submission deadline for the CSMR and ensure that it is signed by the head of CART.

Moreover, ARTA recognized that the agency was able to include the following elements in their CSMR tool and CSMR:

A. Adoption of the CSM tool:

Inclusion of 4 questions concerning the Service Quality Dimensions (SQD 1-8)

Provision of an open-ended question to allow for free responses

B. Submission within the deadline and signed by the CART head or head of the agency

Prepared by:

Montenegro

11/11/2024

Reviewed by:

Digitally signed by Estolas May Angels Wurser Date 2024 12.11 14.54.48

Atty. May Angeli M. Estolas PDO V

11/11/2024

Control No:



Department of Agriculture **Bureau of Agricultural Research**RDMIC Bldg, Elliptical Rd cor Visayas Ave, Diliman, Quezon City –1104

Landlines: (+632) 8-461-2900; 8-461-2800 Fax: (+632) 8-927-5691 Email: r4d@bar.gov.ph @ Website: https://www.bar.gov.ph

HELP US SERVE YOU BETTER!

| This Client Satisfaction Measurement (CSM) tracks the customer experience of government offices. Your feedback | on your recently concluded |
|---|------------------------------|
| transaction will help this office provide a better service. Personal information shared will be kept confidential and | l you always have the option |
| to not answer this form. | |

| | Sex: □ Male □ of residence: Servi | ice Availed: | Division\Unit Visited: |
|--------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| | 아이아 얼마를 다 하지만 아이트 아이를 하다 주었다. [12] [1] [1] | | ter (CC) questions. The Citizen's Charter is an official document that |
| CC1 | Which of the following best de | | |
| | ☐ 1.1 know what a CC is and I s | saw this office's CC. | |
| | ☐ 2. I know what a CC is but I d | lid NOT see this office's CC. | |
| | ☐ 3. I learned of the CC only wi | hen I saw this office's CC. | |
| | ☐ 4.1 do not know what a CC is | and I did not see one in this | office. (Answer 'N/A' on CC2 and CC3) |
| CC2 | If aware of CC (answered 1-3 in | n CC1), would you say that th | ee CC of this office was? |
| | ☐ 1. Easy to see | □ 4. Not visible at all | |
| | ☐ 2. Somewhat easy to see | □ 5. N/A | |
| | □ 3. Difficult to see | | |
| CC3 | If aware of CC (answered code | s 1-3 in CC1), how much did | the CC help you in your transaction? |
| | ☐ 1. Helped very much | ☐ 3. Did not help | |
| | 🗆 2. Somewhat helped | □ 4. N/A | |
| INSTRU | ICTIONS: | | |
| For SQ | D 0-8, please put a check mark (🗸 |) on the column that best co | rresponds to your answer. |

| or SQD 0-8, please put a check mark () on the column SERVICE QUALITY DIMENSION | Strongly Disagree | Disagre e | Neither Agree nor Disagree | Agree | Strongly Agree | N/A Not Applicable |
|---|----------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|-------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| SQD0. I am satisfied with the service that I availed. | | | | | | |
| SQD1. I spent a reasonable amount of time for my transaction. (Responsiveness) | | | | | | |
| SQD2. The office followed the transaction's requirements and steps based on the information provided. (Reliability) | | | | | | |
| SQD3. The steps (including payment) I needed to do for my transaction were easy and simple. (Access and Facilities) | | | | | | |
| SQD4. I easily found information about my transaction from the office or its website. (Communication) | | | | | | |
| SQD5. I paid a reasonable amount of fees for my transaction. (Costs) | | | | | | |
| SQD6.1 feel the office was fair to everyone, or "walang palakasan", during my transaction. (Integrity) | | | | | | |
| SQD7. I was treated courteously by the staff, and (if asked for help) the staff was helpful. (Assurance) | | | | | | |
| SQD8.1 got what I needed from the government office, or (if denied) denial of request was sufficiently | | | | | | |